

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/801,024	03/15/2004	Steven Peng	GUID-028CON2	1606
36154	7590 12/13/2006		EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICE OF ALAN W. CANNON 942 MESA OAK COURT			LACYK, JOHN P	
	E, CA 94086		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		NT			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/801,024	PENG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John P. Lacyk	3735			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MG e, cause the application to become	AICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	September 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,50-52,56 and 68-85 is/are pending	in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,50-52,56 and 68-85</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	*	en received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ot received			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies ha	ot received.			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 3735

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1, 68-72 and 81-85 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5, 19-20, 31, 34 of U.S. Patent No. 6,506,149. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are both directed to an organ manipulation apparatus having at least one suction member having a vacuum space; a support structure and a joint coupled between the suction member and the support structure such that the suction member has freedom to move relative to the support structure in response to normal movement of the organ.
- 3. Claims 50-52 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,899,670. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are both directed to a method of compliant retraction of an

Application/Control Number: 10/801,024 Page 3

Art Unit: 3735

organ, while claim 50 of the pending application now recites a beating heart this considered to include the broader language claimed of an organ further claim 2 of '670 recites that the organ is a beating heart.

- 4. Claims 56 and 73-80 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4, 15-18, 32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,730,020. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claim 56 of the application and claims 1 and 32 of the patent lies in the fact that the patent claims include more elements and is thus more specific. Thus the invention of claims 1 and 32 are in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of claim 56. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". Since claim 56 is anticipated by claims 1 and 32 of the patent, it is not patentably distinct from claims 1 and 32. Further claims 4, 15, 16, 18 all define the "friction enhancing feature" as is now claimed.
- 5. The terminal disclaimer filed on 09/29/06 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 6,506,149 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.
  - a. The person who signed the terminal disclaimer is not recognized as an officer of the assignee, and he/she has not been established as being authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. See MPEP § 324.

Art Unit: 3735

- 6. An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Lacyk whose telephone number is 571-272-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chuck Marmor, II can be reached on 571-272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,024 Page 5

Art Unit: 3735

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John P Lacyk
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3735

J.P. Lacyk